

Entergy Operations, Inc.

River Bend Station 5485 U.S. Highway 61N St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 225 381 4157 Fax 225 635 5068 dlorfin@entergy.com

David N. Lorfing Manager-Licensing

May 2, 2008

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 08-002-00

River Bend Station - Unit 1

Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47

File Nos.

G9.5

RBG-46813 RBF1-08-0043

Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73, enclosed is the subject Licensee Event Report. This document contains no commitments.

Sincerely,

David N. Lorfing

Manager - Licensing

DNL/dhw Enclosure

> TE22 NER

Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 08-002-00 May 2, 2008 RBG-46813 RBF1-08-0043 Page 2 of 2

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 612 East Lamar Blvd., Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-4125

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center E-Mail

Mr. Jim Calloway Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3326

Mr. Jeffrey P. Meyers Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Attn: OEC-ERSD P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

NRC FOR			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION											: 08/31/2010			
	Estimated burden per response to comply with this request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comment estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service In Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 205 e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Office and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Offic										re incorpora mments rega e Branch (T 20555-0001, ficer, Office of Office of Mar to impose a trol number,	ated into the arding burden -5 F52), U.S. or by internet of Information aggement and in information the NRC may					
											DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE						
River Bend Station – Unit 1										C	5000-45	8	1 of 3				
4. TITLE Auto		Reacto	or Scra	m Du	e to N	/lalfu	ınction	of Main	Turbi	ne Co	ntrol Sys	tem					
5. E	VENT D	ATE	6. LER NUMBER				7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOL							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUE NUME		REV NO.	MONTH	DAY .	YEAR		TY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER 05000		
03	05	2008	2008	- 00	)2 -	00	05	02	2008		TY NAME	.,		DOCKET 0	NUMBER <b>5000</b>		
9. OPER	OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all that apply)																
1 20.2201(b)				2)(i) 2)(ii)		☐ 2 ☐ 2 ☐ 5 ☐ 5	0.2203(a)( 0.2203(a)( 0.2203(a)( 0.36(c)(1)( 0.36(c)(1)( 0.36(c)(2)	(3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A).				73(a)(2)(vii 73(a)(2)(ix) 73(a)(2)(x)	(a)(2)(viii)(A) (a)(2)(viii)(B) (a)(2)(ix)(A) (a)(2)(x)			
60			20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)				☐ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			☐ 73.7 ☐ <b>O</b> TI	73.71(a)(5)			
						1;	2. LICENS	SEE CONT	ACT FO	OR THIS	LER			· ·			
	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)  David N. Lorfing, Manager – Licensing  225-381-4157																
			13. COM	PLETE	ONE L	INE F	OR EACH	COMPO	NENT F	AILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS F	REPORT				
CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX		CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU- FACTURE		REPORTABLE TO EPIX				
; na	a																
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXP						EXPECT	ED			15. EXPECTED		MONTH	DAY	YEAR			
☐ YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)									NO		MISSION DATE	·					
<b>ARSTRA</b>	CT /l in	it to 1400	enaces i	ie ann	rovima	taly 1	5 sinala-si	naced type	written	linas)							

On March 5, 2008, at 2:43 p.m. CST, an automatic reactor scram occurred while the plant was operating at 60 percent power. The scram signal was initiated by high steam pressure in the reactor, and all control rods inserted as designed. The initial pressure transient caused the automatic actuation of nine main steam safety-relief valves. Reactor water level momentarily decreased to Level 3 immediately following the scram, resulting in the automatic closure of the containment isolation valves in the suppression pool cleanup system. Reactor pressure and water level were promptly stabilized following the initial transient. A malfunction in the main turbine control system resulting from a loose, oil-contaminated electrical connector apparently produced an errant turbine speed error signal. This signal caused a closure of the turbine control valves, resulting in high reactor steam pressure. The connector was cleaned and reassembled. A preventative maintenance task will be developed to inspect this and similar connectors periodically. This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an unplanned actuation of the reactor protection system and the primary containment isolation system.

## (9-2007)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	-	6. LER NUMBE	3. PAGE			
		, YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	,		•
River Bend Station – Unit 1	05000-458	2008	- 002	- 00	2	OF	3

## REPORTED CONDITION

On March 5, 2008, at 2:43 p.m. CST, an automatic reactor scram occurred while the plant was operating at 60 percent power. The scram signal was initiated by high steam pressure in the reactor, and all control rods inserted as designed. The initial pressure transient caused the automatic actuation of nine main steam safety-relief valves. Reactor water level momentarily decreased to Level 3 immediately following the scram, resulting in the automatic closure of the containment isolation valves in the suppression pool cleanup system. Reactor pressure and water level were promptly stabilized following the initial transient. There were no safety related systems out of service at the time of the event.

This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an unplanned actuation of the reactor protection system and the primary containment isolation system.

## CAUSAL ANALYSIS

Following the event, a cross-discipline team, including a vendor representative, was assembled to investigate the cause of the scram. Data from the emergency response information system and the plant process computer were collected for analysis.

The main turbine electrohydraulic control (EHC) (IT) system comprises three major subsystems – the speed control unit, the load control unit, and the valve flow control unit. The speed control unit compares actual turbine speed with the speed reference, compares actual acceleration with the acceleration reference, and provides one speed error signal to the load control unit.

The load control unit combines the speed error signal with the load reference signal to determine the desired steam flow signals for the main turbine stop valves, control valves, and intercept valves. Finally, the valve flow control unit positions the appropriate valves to obtain the desired steam flows through the turbine.

The speed control unit has two loops – the primary speed sensor loop and the backup speed sensor loop. These loops are nearly identical with the exception of the acceleration amplifier signal being added to the speed amplifier signal for the creation of the speed error signal. During normal operation with no acceleration, the speed error signal should be zero or slightly negative regardless of load.

A detailed sequence of events leading up to the scram was assembled from the computer data. At the onset of the event, the speed signal appears to have momentarily dropped out. This condition would not have, by itself, led to the conditions that resulted in the scram. However, the drop-out was intermittent, and when the signal returned, the logic sensed this as a step increase indicative of a turbine over-acceleration, resulting in the high speed error signal. The system subsequently commanded a control valve closure. The closure of the control valves caused the high steam pressure condition in the reactor, resulting in the reactor scram signal.

Troubleshooting of the EHC circuits was conducted, which identified an abnormal resistance across the primary speed probe. The impedance reading through primary speed sensor pickup was approximately

#### NRC FORM 366A (9-2007)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **CONTINUATION SHEET**

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		3. PAGE				
,	,	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			· · · · · ·
River Bend Station – Unit 1	05000-458	2008	- 002 -	00	3	OF	3

130 ohms, as compared to an expected value of 80 ohms. During removal of speed sensor pickup, impedance dropped to within the normal range. This response indicates that vibration could have affected the connection in the same manner, causing the primary speed sensor signal to momentarily drop off, then return to normal. The most probable cause of the false speed error signal was a loose. oil-contaminated Amphenol connection (\*\*CON\*\*) in the primary speed probe pickup circuit, allowing for an increased impedance and intermittent loss of the pickup signal.

Additionally, the team noted that the speed pickup and connector assembly operate in a hot, highvibration, oily environment. There is no periodic inspection of the connectors to ensure that oil contamination or corrosion are not potentially leading to a faulty connection.

## CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

The investigation team developed the following actions to prevent recurrence. These items are being tracked in the station's corrective action program.

- 1. Establish a preventative maintenance task (effective until the installation of a planned digital EHC upgrade modification) for the inspection of the speed probes and connector for oil contamination. pin/receptacle deformation, connector tightness, corrosion, and electrical resistance of the completed assembly.
- 2. The cable assembly will be scheduled for replacement in a future outage. Replaced cables will be inspected for additional fault identification.
- 3. Review and/or update maintenance procedures for tightening electrical connectors, and for using a thread-locking compound to secure connector used in severe environments

# PREVIOUS OCCURRENCE EVALUATION

No reactor scrams at River Bend Station have occurred in the last five years as a result of the same failure mechanism that caused this event.

# SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

All safety related systems operated as designed during the scram. There was no actuation of the standby diesel generators or the emergency core cooling systems. This event was of minimal significance with regard to the health and safety of the public and plant personnel.

(NOTE: Energy Industry Component Identification codes are annotated as (\*\*XX\*\*).)